

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,307	03/30/2004	David A. Dinsmoor	P-20772.00	3624
27581 7550 01/02/2009 MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			EXAMINER	
			GILBERT, ANDREW M	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/813,307 DINSMOOR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANDREW M. GILBERT 3767 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5.17-19.21-32.41.42.44-47.67 and 68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 67 and 68 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.17-19.21-31.41.42 and 44-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 May 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Parer No(s)/Mail Date.\_\_\_

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO 948).

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/813,307

Art Unit: 3767

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Acknowledgments

- This office action is in response to the reply filed on 10/15/2008.
- 2. In the reply, the Applicant amended claims 1, 29, and 67.
- 3. Claims 67-68 are previously indicated as allowed and claims 4-5, 32 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The double patenting rejections have hereby been withdrawn.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 17-19, 22-32, 41-42, 44-47, 67-68 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-3, 17, 18, 24-31, 41, 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cartledge et al (7175660).

In reference to claims 1 and 29, Cartledge et al discloses a medical device comprising: a device housing (Fig 1; col 4, Ins 26-36, Ins 61-65; col 5, Ins 1-11; col 11, Ins 54-64; col 14, Ins 3-12; 34-col 16, Ins 63) sized for introduction into and residence

Application/Control Number: 10/813,307

Art Unit: 3767

completely within the body lumen; a fixation mechanism (adjustment means – may be a variety of elements – col 15, Ins 47-57) to attach the device housing to a surface within the body lumen (see above citations); and a controlled detachment mechanism (adjustment mechanism), mechanically actuated to selectively self-detach the device housing from the surface of the body lumen (adjustment mechanism controls the means to remotely change the shape/size and including complete detachment and removal from the body; see above citations); and a controller (remote control external from body) responsive to a control signal (electronic, magnetic, uv light etc.. see above citations) and external to the body lumen, wherein the controller activates the controlled detachment mechanism (see above citations), and wherein the medical device remains completely within the body lumen until after the device is detached from the surface (see above citations). Additionally, see below in Response to Arguments.

In reference to claim 2 and 30, see (adjustment means – may be a variety of elements – col 15, Ins 47-57); claim 17, 18, 41, see (adjustment mechanism may be electromechanical motors or other electrically controlled and powered systems; see above citations); claim 24-25 and 45, see (col 14, Ins 34-col 16, Ins 63); for claim 26-27 and 46, see (above citations and col 4, Ins 65-67); and for claims 28 and 47, see (above citations).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/813,307
Art Unit: 3767

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claim 3 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cartledge et al in view of Kilcoyne et al (6689056). Cartledge et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for expressly disclosing vacuum pressure to draw the tissue into the lumen. Kilcoyne et al teaches that it is known to have vacuum pressure to drawn tissue into the lumen (col 10, lns 24-26) for the purpose of grabbing tissue to attach the attachment mechanism. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as taught by Cartledge et al with the vacuum pressure as taught by Kilcoyne et al for the purpose of grabbing tissue to attach the attachment mechanism.
- 10. Claims 19, 22-23, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cartledge et al in view of Imran et al (6535764). Cartledge et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for expressly disclosing the power source including an inductive coupling to generate power for the detachment mechanism. Imran et al teaches that it is known to have the power source including an inductive coupling to generate power for activating a detachment mechanism (col 22, Ins 8-30, col 23, Ins 20-33) for the purpose of remotely generating power. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as taught by Cartledge et al with the power generator as taught by Imran et al for the purpose of remotely generating power to power a detachment mechanism

Application/Control Number: 10/813,307

Art Unit: 3767

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not /ersuasive.

The Applicant argues that Cartledge does not disclose that the device can be selectively detached (Remarks, pg 8, paragraph 4) and the adjustment means cannot be used to mechanically self-detach the implant from the body tissue (Remarks, pg 9, paragraph 1).

In response to the applicant's arguments the Examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Cartledge explicitly discloses that the adjustment means may be contained within the implant and adjusted remotely (e.g. remote control adjustment) and such adjustment means might be capable of removal from the body (col 4, Ins 25-36 – additionally, see Fig 1; col 4, Ins 26-36, Ins 61-65; col 5, Ins 1-11; col 11, Ins 54-64; col 14, Ins 3-12; 34-col 16, Ins 63 and col 15, Ins 47-57). By remotely controlling the adjustment means the device can be detached from the body to allow for removal from the body. The rejection is maintained.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 67-68 are allowed.
- 12. Claims 4-5, 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,307

Art Unit: 3767

#### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW M. GILBERT whose telephone number is (571)272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,307 Page 7

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew M Gilbert/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

> /Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761